

Planned Parenthood Doesn't Plan on Fetus in Undercover Video

By: Mason Yarnell

I. INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

A. Planned Parenthood is facing a significant public relations crisis. In 2015, the organization became the focus of national controversy following the release of a series of undercover videos by an anti-abortion activist group, the Center for Medical Progress, which alleged that Planned Parenthood was illegally selling fetal tissue for profit. The accusations spread rapidly across social media and national news outlets, generating widespread public outrage before full context or verification could emerge. Although multiple state and federal investigations later concluded that no laws were broken and that the footage had been deceptively edited, the initial narrative caused substantial reputational damage. As the organization faced heightened public scrutiny, congressional hearings, and threats of defunding, its long-standing mission of providing legally compliant reproductive healthcare services was overshadowed by misinformation and political polarization. *A carefully developed public relations response is required to clarify the facts, counter false claims, and rebuild public trust in Planned Parenthood's credibility and ethical standards.*

II. SITUATIONAL ANALYSIS

A. Planned Parenthood was founded in 1916 and has since grown into one of the largest nonprofit healthcare providers in the United States. The organization offers a broad range of reproductive and general health services, including cancer screenings, sexually transmitted infection testing and treatment, contraception, prenatal care, and abortion services. For decades, Planned Parenthood positioned itself as a provider of accessible, medically accurate, and patient-centered healthcare, particularly for underserved populations. As a result, the organization developed a deeply polarized public identity,

receiving strong support from advocates of reproductive rights while remaining a frequent target of political and ideological opposition.

In mid-2015, Planned Parenthood became embroiled in controversy after the Center for Medical Progress released a series of secretly recorded videos featuring conversations with Planned Parenthood staff members. The group claimed the footage demonstrated that Planned Parenthood was selling fetal tissue for profit, framing the practice as unethical and illegal. The videos circulated rapidly online and were quickly shared by anti-abortion organizations, political leaders, and media commentators. Despite Planned Parenthood's immediate clarification that it followed federal law permitting voluntary fetal tissue donation for medical research and only received reimbursement for associated costs, the initial narrative gained significant traction.

The situation intensified as mainstream news outlets, social media platforms, and political institutions amplified the claims. Congressional investigations were launched, and several states initiated their own inquiries into Planned Parenthood's practices. At the peak of the crisis, public discourse was dominated by emotionally charged language and partisan framing, leaving little room for factual clarification. While subsequent investigations by government agencies and courts concluded that Planned Parenthood had not engaged in illegal activity and that the videos had been misleadingly edited, these findings were slower to reach the public consciousness.

As a result, Planned Parenthood faced lasting reputational challenges. The controversy strained donor relationships placed pressure on affiliate operations, and contributed to ongoing efforts to reduce or eliminate public funding. The organization was not only forced to respond to the immediate crisis but also to confront the broader implications of misinformation, political activism, and public trust erosion.

A comprehensive public relations approach is necessary to stabilize credibility, reaffirm ethical practices, and reestablish control over the public narrative.

III. RESEARCH

A. Planned Parenthood relied primarily on internal policy knowledge, legal verification, and post-crisis investigations to guide its response to the controversy rather than preemptive public opinion testing.

- i. Internal legal research confirmed that Planned Parenthood affiliates operated within federal guidelines governing fetal tissue donation, which allow for voluntary donations for medical research and prohibit profiting beyond reimbursement of associated costs.
- ii. Media monitoring and social listening revealed that the edited videos and accompanying allegations spread more rapidly than factual corrections, particularly when amplified through social media platforms and political discourse.
- iii. Analysis of traditional media coverage showed that early reporting frequently repeated the activist framing of the allegations before investigative findings or legal context were widely available.
- iv. Planned Parenthood assessed third-party validation from government investigations, courts, medical associations, and investigative journalists, all of which later concluded that the organization had not engaged in illegal activity.
- v. Digital sentiment analysis indicated that once initial opinions were formed, subsequent factual clarification had limited impact on strongly opposed audiences, emphasizing the challenge of correcting misinformation after it becomes politicized.
- vi. *NOTE: Research efforts were largely reactive, focused on legal defense and reputational clarification after the crisis had escalated. The absence of early narrative framing and scenario-based research limited Planned Parenthood's ability to anticipate public interpretation and control the initial flow of information.*

IV. GOAL

- A. For Planned Parenthood, to be perceived as a credible and ethical healthcare organization following a politically charged reputational crisis.

V. FOCAL POINTS

A. Core Supporters and Patients

- i. Traits: This group includes existing patients, reproductive-rights advocates, healthcare supporters, donors, and individuals who rely on Planned Parenthood for affordable and accessible medical services. Many members of this audience already view Planned Parenthood as a trusted healthcare provider but may not be deeply informed about the legal and procedural details of fetal tissue donation regulations.
- ii. Why: Core supporters and patients were critical stakeholders during the crisis because they provided emotional, financial, and advocacy-based support as the organization faced intense political and media pressure. Confusion or doubt within this group could weaken Planned Parenthood's ability to defend its mission, sustain operations, and maintain legitimacy in public discourse.
- iii. What: Planned Parenthood desired this group to understand the factual and legal reality of the allegations, maintain trust in the organization's ethical standards, and continue supporting its services and advocacy efforts throughout and following the crisis.

B. Neutral and Undecided Public

- i. Traits: This group consists of individuals who are aware of Planned Parenthood but do not hold strong opinions about the organization or abortion-related issues. Their perceptions are more likely to be influenced by media framing, political rhetoric, and surface-level information rather than detailed policy knowledge or personal experience with the organization's services.
- ii. Why: The neutral public represented the most fluid segment of public opinion during the crisis. Their interpretation of the controversy played a significant role

in shaping overall public sentiment, media narratives, and political responses, making them a primary audience for credibility restoration efforts.

- iii. What: Planned Parenthood wanted this audience to move from uncertainty or skepticism toward a clearer understanding of the organization's legal compliance and ethical intent, resulting in reduced acceptance of misinformation and increased confidence in the organization's credibility.

C. Mass Media Policymakers

- i. Traits: This group includes journalists, editors, news organizations, elected officials, and regulatory authorities who influence public discourse, legislative action, and funding decisions. Their engagement with the crisis often determines which narratives gain legitimacy and which voices are amplified in the public sphere.
- ii. Why: Media outlets and policymakers significantly shaped how the crisis was interpreted by broader audiences. Inaccurate or sensationalized reporting intensified public backlash, while informed and contextual coverage had the potential to clarify misinformation and reduce reputational damage.
- iii. What: Planned Parenthood sought for this group to report and legislate based on verified information and investigative findings, ultimately contributing to a more accurate public understanding of the crisis and limiting policy decisions driven by misinformation rather than fact.

VI. OBJECTIVES

- A. Objective 1: To reassure core supporters and patients by providing clear and factual information regarding the allegations, resulting in continued trust and sustained financial and advocacy support during the crisis.
- B. Objective 2: To educate the neutral and undecided public through consistent and transparent communication, resulting in reduced acceptance of misinformation and improved perceptions of Planned Parenthood's credibility and ethical practices.

- C. Objective 3: To influence mass media outlets by supplying verified documentation and expert perspectives, resulting in more accurate reporting and reduced reliance on politically framed or misleading narratives.
- D. Objective 4: To inform policymakers and regulatory stakeholders through evidence-based clarification, resulting in legislative and investigative decisions grounded in factual findings rather than activist-driven claims.

VII. STRATEGIES

A. Guiding Principles

Credibility: Planned Parenthood will prioritize factual accuracy, legal verification, and third-party validation to reinforce its role as a trustworthy healthcare provider during a period of intense scrutiny.

Transparency: All communication efforts will emphasize openness and clarity to address public confusion and counter the effects of misleading or selectively edited information.

Consistency: Messaging across all platforms and spokespersons will remain unified and carefully framed to prevent contradictory narratives and reduce opportunities for misinterpretation.

Responsibility: Planned Parenthood will acknowledge public concern and demonstrate accountability by engaging respectfully with stakeholders, even amid politically charged opposition.

Timeliness: Communication will be delivered proactively and responsively to minimize information gaps that could allow misinformation to spread unchecked.

- B. Using Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT), Planned Parenthood will structure its response based on the nature of the allegations and the organization's level of responsibility. Because investigations later confirmed that Planned Parenthood did not engage in illegal activity, the crisis aligns most closely with a *victim crisis* category, in which reputational damage is driven by misinformation rather than organizational wrongdoing. According to SCCT, organizations facing this type of crisis should focus on reinforcing credibility, providing instructive information, and reminding stakeholders of the organization's prior ethical behavior. Planned Parenthood will therefore emphasize

legal compliance, ethical intent, and investigative outcomes rather than engaging in defensive or confrontational messaging.

- C. Framing Theory will guide how Planned Parenthood presents information to both the public and the media. Rather than allowing the narrative to remain centered on emotionally charged activist language, communication efforts will reframe the issue around verified facts, medical context, and legal standards governing fetal tissue donation. By repeatedly framing messages through the lens of healthcare ethics, scientific research regulation, and patient care, Planned Parenthood can shift audience interpretation away from sensational claims and toward informed understanding. Consistent framing across press statements, interviews, and digital communication will support gradual narrative correction and reputational stabilization.

VIII. TACTICS

- A. To implement its crisis communication strategy, Planned Parenthood will execute a coordinated national transparency and credibility initiative designed to clarify misinformation, strengthen media understanding, and reinforce organizational trust.
- i. Following the release of the undercover videos, the initiative will begin with an official statement delivered by a designated national spokesperson. This statement will acknowledge public concern, affirm the organization's legal compliance regarding fetal tissue donation, and outline the ethical framework governing its medical practices. The early timing of this communication will prioritize narrative stabilization and reduce opportunities for misinformation to dominate initial coverage.
 - ii. Planned Parenthood will then distribute a comprehensive fact-based media toolkit to national and regional news outlets, as well as to key policymakers. The toolkit will include summaries of relevant federal legislation, documentation of reimbursement-only practices, findings from independent investigations, and expert commentary from legal and medical professionals. This approach is intended to support accurate reporting and informed decision-making while limiting reliance on activist-produced content.

- iii. To extend transparency to the general public, Planned Parenthood will publish explanatory digital content across its website and social media platforms. Educational videos, written explanations, and visual graphics will explain fetal tissue donation processes within legal and ethical boundaries in accessible language. Consistent messaging and tone across all channels will reinforce credibility, encourage informed public understanding, and support long-term reputational recovery

IX. EVALUATION

- A. Performance of Outputs: Planned Parenthood successfully implemented its coordinated transparency and credibility initiative as designed. Official statements were released through national spokespersons, fact-based media toolkits were distributed to major news outlets and policymakers, and explanatory digital content was published across organizational platforms. Messaging remained consistent across communication channels, and investigative findings were referenced in public discourse. Execution timelines were met, and all components of the crisis response strategy were deployed in alignment with the established guiding principles.
- B. Effectiveness of Outcomes: Overall, Planned Parenthood's crisis communication efforts were partially successful in restoring credibility, though reputational challenges persisted due to the deeply polarized nature of the issue.
 - i. Objective 1: *To reassure core supporters and patients, resulting in continued trust and sustained financial and advocacy support during the crisis.*

This objective was evaluated through donor retention data, public statements of support from advocacy organizations, and social media engagement among supportive audiences. Results indicated that core supporters largely maintained trust in the organization, demonstrated by continued fundraising activity and vocal advocacy, suggesting strong effectiveness within this audience.
 - ii. Objective 2: *To educate the neutral and undecided public, resulting in reduced acceptance of misinformation and improved perceptions of Planned Parenthood's credibility.*

Evaluation methods included media sentiment analysis and public opinion polling where available. Findings showed a gradual shift toward more fact-based media coverage, though neutral audiences exposed primarily to politicized framing remained divided. This objective demonstrated moderate effectiveness over time.

- iii. Objective 3: *To influence mass media outlets, resulting in more accurate reporting and reduced reliance on misleading narratives.*

Media monitoring tools were used to assess reporting tone and content accuracy. As investigative findings became available, coverage increasingly reflected legal context and addressed the deceptive editing of the videos. While early coverage amplified activist claims, later reporting demonstrated improved balance, indicating moderate outcome success.

- iv. Objective 4: *To inform policymakers and regulatory stakeholders, resulting in decisions grounded in factual findings rather than activist claims.*

Legislative records, investigation outcomes, and court rulings were reviewed to measure effectiveness. Multiple federal and state investigations concluded that Planned Parenthood engaged in no illegal activity, signaling that evidence-based clarification influenced regulatory conclusions. However, political pressure continued in some regions, reflecting partial but meaningful success.

X. ANALYSIS

- A. Good: From a public relations perspective, Planned Parenthood demonstrated effectiveness by grounding its response in verified legal findings and third-party validation. The organization's use of factual clarification, expert testimony, and investigative outcomes aligned well with best practices outlined in Situational Crisis Communication Theory for managing a victim-based crisis. Consistent messaging across traditional and digital media platforms helped reestablish credibility among core supporters and contributed to more accurate media reporting over time.
- B. Bad: Despite the eventual success of investigative clarification, Planned Parenthood's initial response lacked proactive narrative framing, allowing misleading and emotionally charged claims to dominate early public discourse. The organization was slow to engage

broad audiences with simplified, accessible messaging, which reduced the effectiveness of early communication efforts. Additionally, reliance on legal explanations without sufficient emotional resonance limited message penetration among undecided or less-informed audiences.

- C. Insights: This case highlights the importance of rapid response and early message framing in politically sensitive crises. Organizations operating in highly polarized environments must anticipate activist-driven misinformation and prepare communication strategies before crises emerge. Future approaches should integrate pre-crisis scenario planning, audience-focused message testing, and faster deployment of framing strategies to minimize reputational damage and improve public understanding.

XI. REFERENCES

- A. CapRadio. (2025, January 30). *Activists whose videos accused Planned Parenthood of selling fetal remains plead to felony*. CapRadio. <https://www.caprado.org/articles/2025/01/30/activists-whose-videos-accused-planned-parenthood-of-selling-fetal-remains-plead-to-felony/>
- B. ProPublica. (2015, July 22). *Everything you need to know about the Planned Parenthood videos*. ProPublica. <https://www.propublica.org/article/everything-you-need-to-know-about-the-planned-parenthood-videos>
- C. Self Magazine. (2025, January 12). *Planned Parenthood blocked from Medicaid funding in Texas*. Self. <https://www.self.com/story/planned-parenthood-medicaid-texas>
- D. Texas Tribune. (2016, January 25). *Grand jury indicts abortion foes behind undercover Planned Parenthood videos*. The Texas Tribune. <https://www.texastribune.org/2016/01/25/grand-jury-indicts-abortion-foes-behind-undercover/>
- E. The Guardian. (2022, July 14). *The fanatical crusade against Planned Parenthood*. The Guardian. <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2022/jul/14/the-fanatical-crusade-against-planned-parenthood>
- F. Wikipedia contributors. (2025, February 5). *Planned Parenthood 2015 undercover videos controversy*. In *Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Planned_Parenthood_2015_undercover_videos_controversy